



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING I	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/005,576	12/04/2001		Siegfried Dachtler	TAIG-94	8144	
7590 05/10/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER		
Peter L. Costas				JOERGER, I	JOERGER, KAITLIN S	
Pepe & Hazard LLP 225 Asylum Street				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Hartford, CT 06012			•	3653		
				DATE MAILED: 05/10/200		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/005,576	DACHTLER, SIEGFRIED			
•	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kaitlin S Joerger	3653			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 05 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applicable at the sapplicable at the sappeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three movement patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 	s Brief must be filed within the pR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:	•			
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying th	е		
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows					
Claim(s) allowed: 20 and 21.					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>2,3,13 and 14</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>2,5,13 and 14</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5,9-14 and 17-21</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected. <u>1-3,3,3-74 and 17-221</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
	υπίολί τη συμ τρολία μει 140(2) .	·			
10. Other:					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitations re. said at least one suction holder being movable vertically relative to said separator frame, is an example of a new issue requiring further consideration and/or search.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600